Negative cognitive styles synergistically predict suicidal ideation in bipolar spectrum disorders: A 3-year prospective study
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A B S T R A C T

Rates of suicidal ideation and behavior are extremely high in bipolar spectrum disorders (BSDs). However, relatively little work has evaluated potentially synergistic relationships between cognitive and emotion-regulatory processes proposed by theoretical models of suicidality in BSDs. The present study evaluated whether negative cognitive style and subtypes of rumination would exacerbate the impact of self-criticism on suicidal ideation in a prospective study of individuals with BSDs. Seventy-two young adults with BSDs (bipolar II, bipolar NOS, or cyclothymia) completed diagnostic interviews and trait measures of self-criticism, negative cognitive style, and brooding and reflective rumination at a baseline assessment. The occurrence of suicidal ideation was assessed as part of diagnostic interviews completed every 4 months for an average of 3 years of follow-up. Negative cognitive style and reflective rumination strengthened the association between self-criticism and the prospective occurrence of suicidal ideation across follow-up. Individuals with high levels of self-criticism in conjunction with negative cognitive style or reflective rumination were most likely to experience the onset of suicidal ideation. Self-criticism may work synergistically with negative cognitive style and rumination to confer risk for suicidal ideation in bipolar spectrum disorders. These results support theoretical models of suicidality in BSDs and indicate that evaluating and understanding negative cognitive styles may help to identify individuals who are at risk of suicide.

© 2015 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bipolar spectrum disorders (BSDs) are characterized by periods of depression and/or mood elevation and are associated with impairment in many areas of functioning (Murray and Lopez, 1996; Peters et al., 2014). In addition, individuals with BSDs experience high rates of suicide (Angst et al., 2002), with previous reports indicating that 25–50% of individuals with bipolar I or II disorder have a lifetime history of a suicide attempt (Chen and Dilsaver, 1996; Leverich et al., 2003; Valtonen et al., 2005), twice the rate of individuals with unipolar depression (Chen and Dilsaver, 1996), and 20–30 times greater risk than the general population (Pompili et al., 2013). In addition, suicidal ideation (SI), which strongly predicts suicide attempts (Leverich et al., 2003), may occur in greater than 80% of patients with bipolar I and II disorders during their lifetimes (Valtonen et al., 2005). However, the biological, clinical and psychosocial factors leading to SI in BSDs remain understudied (Valtonen et al., 2005). A better understanding of suicide risk in BSDs could lead to improved detection and prevention of suicide.

Malhi et al. (2013) recently developed the Bipolar Suicidality Model (BSM) to address the lack of theoretical approaches in existing studies investigating risk factors for suicide in BSDs. Given that stressful life events are demonstrated risk factors for suicide (e.g., Bagge et al., 2013; for review see Liu and Miller (2014)), the BSM proposes that when encountering such events, individuals who appraise situations as being hopeless, futile, or unchangeable are likely to think about suicide as a way of escaping the situation. Similarly, individuals who make appraisals about themselves as being unworthy or flawed are likely to perceive defeat and experience SI. Individuals with BSDs are especially likely to make appraisals of defeat and tend to repeatedly activate and amplify these appraisals because of difficulties disengaging from negative thinking and difficulties with emotion regulation (Malhi et al., 2013).

To date, a few studies have evaluated specific psychosocial components of the BSM such as individuals’ appraisals of themselves following stressful life events. One such style of self-appraisal
is self-criticism, a cognitive style stemming from perfectionistic beliefs and an inability to derive satisfaction from successes, which results in negative evaluations of oneself (e.g., Blatt et al., 1982), and which has frequently been linked to greater severity of suicidal ideation and behavior (Fazaa and Page, 2003). Self-criticism is elevated among suicide attempters, particularly following stressful events as a result of motivation to escape (e.g., Klomke et al., 2008). It is also associated with SI in clinical and healthy samples (for a review, see O’Connor (2007)), and among suicide attempters, self-criticism is associated with hopelessness (Donaldson et al., 2000), which is a risk factor for suicide in BSDs (Hawton et al., 2005; Valtonen et al., 2005, 2006). Among individuals with BSDs (bipolar II, bipolar NOS, and cyclothymia), self-criticism conferred vulnerability to depressive symptoms following congruent stressful life events (Francis-Ranierie et al., 2006), and it predicted the prospective onset of episodes of depression and hypomania (Alloy et al., 2009). However, to our knowledge, no studies to date have investigated self-criticism as a predictor of SI in BSDs.

The BSM proposes that negative self-appraisals such as self-criticism may work synergistically with other types of negative appraisals to lead to SI; however, these specific mechanisms of SI have neither been elaborated nor investigated empirically. One type of appraisal that could exacerbate the impact of self-criticism on SI is negative cognitive style. Negative cognitive style is derived from the hopelessness theory of depression, which proposes that individuals who are vulnerable to hopelessness (and depression) make attributions about the causes of negative events that are stable (always present) and global (affecting many areas of life), with negative consequences and self-implications (Abramson et al., 1989). In addition to empirical studies supporting the role of negative cognitive styles in depression (e.g., Alloy et al., 2006) and bipolar spectrum disorders (Alloy et al., 1999; Reilly-Harrington et al., 1999; Stange et al., 2013a, in press), research also has supported the role of negative cognitive style and hopelessness in the development of suicidal ideation (Kleiman et al., 2014a). Theoretical models of suicide (e.g., O’Connor, 2011), including the BSM, have suggested that making negative appraisals about the future following stressful life events may facilitate the progression of feelings of entrapment toward SI (Malhi et al., 2013). Indeed, studies have demonstrated that negative cognitive style confers vulnerability to the prospective development of SI (Joiner and Rudd, 1995; Kleinman et al., 2014b) via hopelessness (Abramson et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2006). Studies across the bipolar spectrum have suggested that negative cognitive styles are associated with a poorer course of illness (Alloy et al., 1999; Reilly-Harrington et al., 1999; Stange et al., 2013a). Only one study has evaluated negative cognitive style as a predictor of SI in individuals with bipolar I or II disorder, failing to find such a relationship (Stange et al., 2014), but hopelessness has been strongly implicated as a risk factor for SI and suicide attempts in BSDs (e.g., Umamaheswari et al., 2014; Valtonen et al., 2006). Although it seems plausible that negative cognitive styles would be particularly likely to lead to hopelessness and SI among individuals who also engage in self-criticism (as a result of feeling defeated, ineffective, and hopeless; Malhi et al., 2013), no research has integrated these risk factors in evaluating SI in BSDs.

Ruminination, the tendency to repetitively think over the causes and consequences of one’s depressed mood (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991), also may exacerbate the impact of self-critical thinking on SI. Indeed, theories of suicide (e.g., O’Connor, 2011) have suggested that rumination may facilitate the development of SI because of feelings of entrapment and defeat. Ruminations has been linked to a greater lifetime depression frequency in bipolar I disorder (Gruber et al., 2011) as well as SI and behaviors (for review see Morrison and O’Connor (2008); e.g., Smith et al., 2006) including in bipolar I and II disorders (Simon et al., 2007). The BSM proposes that impaired cognition and emotion regulation (such as rumination) may worsen the effect of negative appraisals of the self, such that SI is activated (Malhi et al., 2013). In line with this model, existing empirical research has shown that rumination exacerbates the associations between negative cognitive styles and depression in individuals at risk for BSDs (Stange et al., 2013b) and normative samples (Ciesla and Roberts, 2007; Robinson and Alloy, 2003). Thus, rumination also could exacerbate the link between self-criticism and SI, but no studies to date have evaluated this question.

Rumination has two subtypes: brooding, rumination in a passive form that is thought to be maladaptive and is associated with depression; and reflection, a more active form of rumination thought to be less maladaptive (Treynor et al., 2003). With respect to suicide, findings have been more mixed, with retrospective, cross-sectional, and prospective studies providing evidence that brooding and/or reflection each may be associated with SI and attempts (e.g., Chan et al., 2009; Grassia and Gibb, 2009; Miranda and Nolen-Hoeksema, 2007; Surrence et al., 2009). Thus, it is possible that both forms of rumination are maladaptive in conferring risk for SI. Researchers recently have called for studies to examine the conditions under which reflection predicts SI (Chan et al., 2009; Miranda and Nolen-Hoeksema, 2007; Surrence et al., 2009; Tucker et al., 2013). For example, Miranda and Nolen-Hoeksema (2007) proposed that reflection could lead to SI when individuals are not successful at generating solutions to their problems, which could occur when negative cognitive styles interfere with problem-solving. Similarly, Watkins (2008) proposed that abstract, analytical forms of repetitive thought such as brooding and reflection may be particularly maladaptive in the context of negative thinking or depressed mood. Thus, reflection and brooding could each be particularly likely to lead to SI when the content of the repetitive thinking is negative, such as among individuals who engage in self-criticism.

Although epidemiological and descriptive studies have evaluated risk factors for suicide among treatment-seeking individuals with bipolar I or II disorder, far fewer studies have evaluated risk factors for SI among individuals with less-severe disorders on the bipolar spectrum. This gap in the literature is notable given that individuals with less-severe BSDs are at high risk for transitioning to more severe types of BSDs (e.g., Akiskal et al., 1977; Alloy et al., 2012; Birmaher et al., 2009), with the potential for substantial economic and personal costs for society (Begley et al., 2001; Kessler et al., 2006, 2008; Simon, 2003).

In the present study, we evaluated self-criticism, negative cognitive style, brooding and reflection as predictors of SI in a prospective study of young adults with BSDs (bipolar II, bipolar NOS, and cyclothymia). Specifically, consistent with the BSM of suicidality, we evaluated whether negative cognitive style, brooding, and reflection would exacerbate the association between self-criticism and prospective SI across 3 years of follow-up.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants and procedures

The present sample is derived from the Temple University site of the Longitudinal Investigation of Bipolar Spectrum Disorders (LIBS) Project, a prospective investigation of the biological, psychosocial, and cognitive predictors of bipolar spectrum disorders (BSD). Participants were Temple University undergraduates who were selected after a two-phase screening procedure. A total of 7500 students were administered the revised General Behavior Inventory (GBI; Depue et al., 1989) in Phase I of screening. Findings have been more mixed, with retrospective, cross-sectional, and prospective studies providing evidence that brooding and/or reflection each may be associated with SI and attempts (e.g., Chan et al., 2009; Grassia and Gibb, 2009; Miranda and Nolen-Hoeksema, 2007; Surrence et al., 2009). Thus, it is possible that both forms of rumination are maladaptive in conferring risk for SI. Researchers recently have called for studies to examine the conditions under which reflection predicts SI (Chan et al., 2009; Miranda and Nolen-Hoeksema, 2007; Surrence et al., 2009; Tucker et al., 2013). For example, Miranda and Nolen-Hoeksema (2007) proposed that reflection could lead to SI when individuals are not successful at generating solutions to their problems, which could occur when negative cognitive styles interfere with problem-solving. Similarly, Watkins (2008) proposed that abstract, analytical forms of repetitive thought such as brooding and reflection may be particularly maladaptive in the context of negative thinking or depressed mood. Thus, reflection and brooding could each be particularly likely to lead to SI when the content of the repetitive thinking is negative, such as among individuals who engage in self-criticism.

In the present study, we evaluated self-criticism, negative cognitive style, brooding and reflection as predictors of SI in a prospective study of young adults with BSDs (bipolar II, bipolar NOS, and cyclothymia). Specifically, consistent with the BSM of suicidality, we evaluated whether negative cognitive style, brooding, and reflection would exacerbate the association between self-criticism and prospective SI across 3 years of follow-up.

2.1. Participants and procedures

The present sample is derived from the Temple University site of the Longitudinal Investigation of Bipolar Spectrum Disorders (LIBS) Project, a prospective investigation of the biological, psychosocial, and cognitive predictors of bipolar spectrum disorders (BSD). Participants were Temple University undergraduates who were selected after a two-phase screening procedure. A total of 7500 students were administered the revised General Behavior Inventory (GBI; Depue et al., 1989) in Phase I of screening. Employing GBI cutoffs for depressive and manic symptomatology (see below), 12.3% of those who completed Phase I screened into the potential BSD group.

Phase II of the screening involved administration of a semi-structured diagnostic interview using an expanded version of the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Lifetime interview (exp-SADS-L; Alloy et al., 2008). Inclusion criteria for the BSD group included meeting the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) (APA, 1994) and/or the Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC) (Spitzer et al., 1975) criteria for any of the following disorders: bipolar II disorder, cyclothymia, or bipolar disorder NOS. Exclusion criteria for the BSD group included meeting the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) (APA, 1994) and/or the Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC) (Spitzer et al., 1975) criteria for any of the following disorders: bipolar II disorder, cyclothymia, or bipolar disorder NOS. Exclusion criteria for the BSD group.
included meeting for a current or past manic episode based on DSM-IV and/or RDC criteria, as the goal of the LIBS Project was to determine risk factors for the onset of bipolar I disorder (Alloy et al., 2012).

The sample included 72 participants (58% bipolar II, 42% cyclothymia or bipolar NOS). The sample comprised 65% females and the mean age was 19.72 years (S.D. = 1.85). Prior to study enrollment, 15% of the BSD group indicated seeking medication and/or psychotherapy treatment (Alloy et al., 2008). During study enrollment, 31% of the BSD group reported seeking treatment (16% medication-only, 13% psychotherapy-only) and 3% reported psychiatric hospitalization (Alloy et al., 2008).

The LIBS study was approved by Temple University's IRB and informed consent was obtained from all participants who were screened and enrolled in the study. After screening Phases I and II, individuals were administered a baseline battery of self-report assessments measuring depressive symptoms, self-criticism, negative cognitive style, and rumination. Prospective suicidal ideation was measured by diagnostic interview every 4 months post-baseline visit over an average period of 3 years (M = 1,099 days; S.D. = 464 days).

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Screening questionnaire

General Behavior Inventory (GBI; Depue et al., 1989) is a self-report measure administered at Phase I of screening to identify potential bipolar spectrum participants and controls to invite for Phase II screening. The GBI includes 73 items assessing depressive (GBI-D) and hypomanic/biphasic (GBI-HB) symptomatology as well as their frequency, intensity, and duration. Items are measured on a four-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (very often or almost constantly). Based on scoring procedures employed by Depue et al. (1989), only scores of 3 or 4 on the Likert scales received a score of one point. Additional details about GBI cutoff scores for potential bipolar spectrum and healthy control participants are available elsewhere (Alloy et al., 2008; Depue et al., 1989). The GBI has high internal consistency (α = 0.90–0.96), good test–retest reliability (r = 0.71–0.74), good sensitivity (0.78), and high specificity (0.99) in detecting BDs (Depue et al., 1981, 1989).

2.2.2. Bipolar spectrum diagnoses

Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Lifetime version (SADS-L; Endicott and Spitzer, 1978) is a semi-structured diagnostic interview administered during Phase II of the screening process. The expanded version of the SADS-L assesses lifetime history of mood, anxiety, eating, psychotic, and substance use disorders (Alloy et al., 2008). The inter-rater reliability observed in our study was strong; an analysis of 105 jointly rated interviews yielded a kappa = 0.96 for bipolar spectrum diagnoses (Alloy et al., 2008).

2.2.3. Depressive symptoms

The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck et al., 1988) is a 21-item self-report measure administered at Phase I of screening to identify potential bipolar spectrum participants and controls to invite for Phase II screening. The BDI includes 73 items assessing depressive (BDI-D) and hypomanic/biphasic (BDI-HB) symptomatology as well as their frequency, intensity, and duration. Items are measured on a four-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (very often or almost constantly). Based on scoring procedures employed by Beck et al. (1988), only scores of 3 or 4 on the Likert scales received a score of one point. Additional details about BDI cutoff scores for potential bipolar spectrum and healthy control participants are available elsewhere (Alloy et al., 2008; Hammen, 1980). The inter-rater reliability observed in our study was strong; an analysis of 105 jointly rated interviews yielded a kappa = 0.96 for bipolar spectrum diagnoses (Alloy et al., 2008).

2.2.4. Negative cognitive style

The Cognitive Style Questionnaire (CSQ; Haeffel et al., 2008) was designed to assess inferential style in regards to negative events. Participants are presented with 12 negative events and are assessed on inferences regarding the cause of each hypothetical event’s (1) stability (“will never again cause [the event]” to “will also cause [the event] in the future”), (2) globality (“will only cause problems with [the event]” to “will cause problems in all areas of my life”), (3) the event’s likely consequences (“nothing bad will happen” to “very bad things will happen”), and (4) the event’s self-implications (“doesn’t mean anything is wrong with me” to “definitely means something is wrong with me”). Each item is rated on a seven-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating a more negative cognitive style. In the present study, the internal consistency of the CSQ was excellent (α = 0.94).

2.2.5. Brooding and reflection

The Ruminative Responses Scale (RRS; Treynor et al., 2003) is a 10-item self-report scale measuring to what degree participants react to feeling depressed by engaging in reflection (actively thinking about one’s mood in a reflective manner with an emphasis on problem solving) and brooding (thinking about one’s mood in a passive and judgmental manner; Treynor et al., 2003). The RRS includes five items measuring Reflection and five items measuring Brooding on a four-point Likert scale (1 = almost never, 4 = almost always). Both subscales have demonstrated retest reliability (rs = 0.60, 0.62; Treynor et al., 2003) and good internal consistency (αs = 0.72, 0.77; Treynor et al., 2003). In the present study, the Brooding (α = 0.74) and Reflection (α = 0.75) subscales yielded good internal consistency.

2.2.6. Self-criticism

The Depressive Experiences Questionnaire (DEQ; Blatt et al., 1976) is a scale designed to measure Blatt et al.’s (1976) proposed depressive cognitive styles. This study employed the self-criticism subscale because it has been found to be highly relevant to suicidal ideation and we were interested in elucidating this relationship as opposed to proposing additional relationships. The DEQ has demonstrated high internal and retest reliability (Blatt et al., 1976; Zuroff et al., 1983). In the present study, the self-criticism subscale demonstrated good internal consistency (α = 0.78).

2.2.7. Suicidal ideation

Suicidal ideation was measured by the expanded Schedule for Affective Disorders-Change version (exp-SADS-C; Endicott and Spitzer, 1978; Alloy et al., 2008). The exp-SADS-C is a semi-structured diagnostic interview administered at all follow-up assessments throughout the study and is designed to track change in mood symptomatology, including the presence of suicidal ideation over the time period since the previous interview. The exp-SADS-C asks about thoughts about dying, wanting to die, killing oneself, or wanting to commit suicide. SI was coded dichotomously as 1 (yes) and 0 (no) for the presence of any suicidal ideation (Aiken and West, 1991). An analysis of 60 jointly rated interviews demonstrated strong inter-rater reliability (α = 0.80).

2.3. Statistical analyses

To evaluate whether the association between self-criticism and SI was moderated by negative cognitive style, brooding, and reflection, we conducted three hierarchical logistic regressions. In Step 1, we entered Phase II symptoms of depression and the time participants were in the study (number of days from Time 1 to follow-up). The main effects of self-criticism and one of the cognitive styles (negative cognitive style, brooding, or reflection) was entered in Step 2, followed by the interaction term between self-criticism and the cognitive style in Step 3. Simple slopes tests were conducted for significant interactions by evaluating the

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Suicidal ideation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Depressive symptoms (BDI)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.24*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Self-criticism (DEQ)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.39***</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Negative cognitive style (CSQ)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.60***</td>
<td>0.48***</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Brooding (RRS)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05.
*** p < 0.001.
BDI = Beck Depression Inventory.
DEQ = Depressive Experiences Questionnaire.
CSQ = Cognitive Style Questionnaire.
RRS = Ruminative Responses Scale.
association between self-criticism and SI at high and low levels (± 1 S.D.) of the cognitive style (Aiken and West, 1991).

3. Results

3.1. Preliminary analyses

Descriptive statistics and correlations between study measures are presented in Table 1. Sixteen individuals (22%) experienced the onset of SI across follow-up. SI at follow-up was not significantly associated with any of the cognitive styles independently or with initial depressive symptoms. The cognitive styles were significantly positively correlated with each other, with the exception of reflection, which was not correlated with the other cognitive styles.

3.2. Hypothesis 1: Does negative cognitive style amplify the relationship between self-criticism and onset of suicidal ideation?

Self-criticism and negative cognitive style interacted significantly to predict the onset of SI (Table 2; Fig. 1a). Consistent with hypotheses, self-criticism predicted a significantly greater likelihood of experiencing SI among individuals with higher levels of negative cognitive style (OR = 2.71, 95% CI = 1.01–7.42, p < 0.05), but not among individuals with lower levels of negative cognitive style (OR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.24–1.67, p = 0.35).

3.3. Hypothesis 2: Does rumination (brooding or reflection) amplify the relationship between self-criticism and onset of suicidal ideation?

In contrast with our hypotheses, the interaction between self-criticism and brooding predicting the onset of SI was only marginally significant, but was in the hypothesized direction (Table 2; Fig. 1b). However, self-criticism and reflection interacted significantly to predict the onset of SI (Table 2; Fig. 1c). Consistent with hypotheses, self-criticism predicted a significantly greater likelihood of experiencing SI among individuals with higher levels of reflection (OR = 2.88, 95% CI = 1.02–8.12, p < 0.05), but not among individuals with lower levels of reflection (OR = 0.49, 95% CI = 0.16–1.47, p = 0.20).

Notably, all results remained consistent when controlling for lifetime history of SI.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>ΔR²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative cognitive style</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BDI†</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.97–1.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Time in study</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00–1.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Self-criticism (SC)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.60–2.84</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Negative cognitive style (NCS)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.49–1.77</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SC × NCS</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>1.96*</td>
<td>1.11–3.45</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brooding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BDI†</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.99–1.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Time in study</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00–1.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Self-criticism (SC)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.56–3.54</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Brooding</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.77–1.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SC × brooding</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1.17*</td>
<td>1.00–1.37</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reflection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BDI†</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.98–1.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Time in study</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00–1.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Self-criticism (SC)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.57–2.46</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reflection</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.96–1.62</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SC × reflection</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>1.36*</td>
<td>1.04–1.77</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† p < 0.10.
* p < 0.05.
‡ BDI = Beck Depression Inventory.

Fig. 1. Interactions among self-criticism and (a) negative cognitive style, (b) brooding, and (c) reflection, predicting probability of suicidal ideation.

4. Discussion

Individuals with bipolar spectrum disorders (BSDs) are at heightened risk for suicidal ideation and behaviors (e.g., Nock et al., 2009; Pompili et al., 2013); however, the psychosocial processes that confer risk for suicidal ideation among individuals with BSDs remain unclear. The present study extends research on self-criticism and suicidal ideation (O’Connor, 2007) by examining whether additional maladaptive cognitive processes amplified the effects of self-criticism on suicidal ideation in BSD individuals across a 3-year follow-up period. Consistent with hypotheses, self-criticism predicted a greater likelihood of experiencing suicidal ideation among BSD individuals with more negative cognitive styles. In partial support of hypotheses, reflection, but not brooding, amplified the effects of self-criticism on suicidal ideation among BSD individuals. These findings highlight the detrimental and synergistic effects of self-criticism, negative cognitive style, and rumination on suicidal ideation and the importance of evaluating self-critical tendencies in interaction with other cognitive vulnerabilities for suicide risk among individuals with BSDs.

Although elevated levels of self-criticism have been found among suicidal individuals (O’Connor, 2007; Klomek et al., 2008), as well as individuals with BSDs (Nock et al., 2009), our findings suggest that the effects of self-criticism on suicidal ideation may be exacerbated by the presence of other cognitive processes. These findings are consistent with the theoretical framework of the Bipolar Suicidality Model (BSM; Malhi et al., 2013), which posits that individuals with BSDs are particularly vulnerable to making defeatist and hopelessness-inducing appraisals of life events and to...
Although reevaluation, among individuals with other vulnerabilities (Chan et al., 2009; Miranda and Nolen-Hoeksema, 2007), future research should further investigate whether the effects of brooding versus reflection depends on specific types of vulnerabilities and experiences (e.g., cognitive versus environmental) in conferring risk for suicidal ideation.

Given the high rates of suicidal ideation and attempts among BSD individuals (Pompili et al., 2013), this study potentially has important implications for the prevention and treatment of SI in BSDs. Our results suggest that evaluating cognitive styles, particularly in combination with one another, may improve our ability to detect BSD individuals at risk for suicidal ideation and suicide attempts. In addition, our findings highlight the importance of incorporating cognitive elements in the treatment of individuals with bipolar spectrum disorders. Specifically, given that self-criticism is high among BSD individuals (Fletcher et al., 2012; Rosenfarb et al., 1998), it may be particularly important to target self-criticism to reduce the risk of suicidal ideation. However, our findings indicate that it also is important to target the presence of other cognitive vulnerabilities, such as negative cognitive style and repetitive thought processes, which might amplify the effects of self-criticism and contribute to suicidal ideation. Thus, treatments such as cognitive behavioral therapy that involve modifying maladaptive cognitions (Otto et al., 2009), or that involve teaching distanced approaches to noticing negative thoughts rather than engaging with them, such as mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (e.g., Deckersbach et al., 2012), might reduce the impact of self-criticism on negative outcomes such as SI.

Although the present study has several strengths, it is also important to note a number of limitations that will be important for future research to address. First, our sample was relatively small, which might have reduced our ability to detect the significant interaction with brooding and prevented us from having enough power to enter cognitive style interactions into regressions simultaneously. Second, the sample size precluded us from subdividing the sample into bipolar subgroups, which would have provided more information about the generalizability of our findings to different types of BSDs. Third, our study excluded individuals with manic episodes, which limits the extension of implications to individuals with bipolar I. Fourth, our study only evaluated suicidal ideation, but did not examine the severity of suicidal ideation (e.g., frequency, intention, plan) or suicide attempts, which also limits conclusions regarding the role of these synergistic cognitive styles on specific elements of suicidal ideation or suicide attempts in BSD individuals. However, given research documenting that suicidal ideation predicts attempted suicide in individuals with BSDs (Leverich et al., 2003), our study might be important for early detection and prevention of risk for suicide.

Fifth, the rate of suicidal ideation among BSD participants in our study was lower than anticipated. Normative data from a recent surveillance study of college students indicate that 12% of college students experienced SI across 4 years (Wilcox et al., 2010). Thus, although the 22% rate of SI observed in our sample of students with BSDs was lower than expected, this rate of SI is almost twice the normative rate and was obtained across a shorter period of follow-up. Given that SI is less likely to be reported in clinical interviews than in self-report measures (Kaplan et al., 1994), it is possible that SI was under-reported among participants in our study. However, SI also may be somewhat less common among individuals with less-severe bipolar spectrum disorders (e.g., Axelson et al., 2006) as is true for our sample. Thus, our results should be considered within the context of rates of SI that are lower than would be expected in treatment-seeking individuals with bipolar I and II disorders. It is possible that some effects tested (such as the brooding × self-criticism interaction) would be more easily detected in clinical samples with higher rates of SI, or if SI were self-reported.
Finally, the present study only evaluated these relationships within a sample of individuals with BSDs. Thus, it remains unclear whether these processes are specific to BSD individuals or whether they apply more broadly to other clinical or community samples. Given research supporting the cognitive catalyst model of depression in healthy individuals (Ciesla and Roberts, 2007; Ciesla et al., 2011; Robinson and Alloy, 2003), as well as individuals at risk for bipolar disorder (Stange et al., 2013b), it is possible that the effects of these cognitive processes would extend to other samples, but they might be especially acute in individuals with mood dysregulation problems. Future research is needed to replicate these findings in larger samples, which would enable separate examination of different subgroups of BSDs, as well as examination of the interaction of other cognitive styles and emotion regulation strategies in risk for suicidal ideation.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that the effects of self-criticism on suicidal ideation are amplified by both negative cognitive style and reflection, but not brooding, which have important implications for the prevention and treatment of individuals with BSD who may be at risk for suicide.
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